



## National Conference on “Academia, Industry Collaborations – Opportunities and Challenges” March 2<sup>nd</sup> – 4<sup>th</sup>, 2017

### CONFERENCE FEEDBACK FORM

*Thank you for participating in our Academia, Industry Collaborations – Opportunities and Challenges conference, we would like to know if this was a valuable learning experience for you and would greatly appreciate the responses to the following questions.*

**We would like you to evaluate the following questions on three criteria:**

*a) Poor b) Below average c) Average d) Above average e) Outstanding*

**Poor evaluation** implies that the information was *Fragmented, Incomplete, Not structured, Not evidence based and Difficult to understand*. An **Outstanding evaluation** implies that the information was *Complete, Comprehensive, Well structured, Evidence-based and Easy to understand*.

**Day 1: 02<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017; Thursday**

<b>The Entrepreneurial University - a larger issue</b>					
Presenter	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
<b>Mr. K. Rajaraman</b>					
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

<b>Global perspectives in University-Industry Collaboration</b>					
Presenter	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
<b>Dr. Caven Mcloughlin</b>					
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

<b>Industry – Academia HUB: Networking &amp; Collaboration</b>					
Presenter	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
<b>Dr. K. Sankaran</b>					
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

**Policies to promote Industry – institute collaboration**

Presenters <b>Prof. S. P. Thyagarajan</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

**Deliverables/Outcome of Academia-Industry partnerships**

Presenters <b>Dr. M. J. Nanjan</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

**Day 2: 03<sup>rd</sup> March, 2017; Friday**

**Creating patent culture in academia research**

Presenter <b>Mr. S. P. Subramaniyan</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

**Optimization of patent portfolio in industry set up**

Presenter <b>Dr. Sridevi Krishnan</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

**Development of Academia-Industry ecosystem: Bridging gaps for incubating innovation**

Presenters <b>Dr KK Narayanan</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

<b>Group discussion: Real time difficulties in developing Academia-Industry Collaboration</b>					
Presenters <b>Dr. Arun Balakrishnan</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

**Day 3: 04<sup>th</sup> March, 2017; Saturday**

<b>Recent trends in Industry – institute linkages in India</b>					
Presenter <b>Mr. Jayaseelan</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

<b>Motivations and barriers for collaboration: Successful case studies of CIIT</b>					
Presenter <b>Mr. A. Balachandran</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

<b>IITM Incubation Cell &amp; Sharing of Experiences</b>					
Presenters <b>Prof. Guhan Jayaraman</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

<b>Case Studies of Industry – Academia programs</b>					
Presenters <b>Dr. K. BalaKrishnan</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

<b>Industry Institute Interaction culture: Panel Discussion</b>					
<b>Presenters</b> <b>Dr. Anandkumar Surendrarao</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent was the content in his/her presentation useful?	1	2	3	4	5

### **CONFERENCE EVALUATION**

<b>Programme</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
Was the Program well organized?	1	2	3	4	5
Was most of the information presented new to you?	1	2	3	4	5
Were the topics relevant to you?	1	2	3	4	5
Were the questions and discussion handled to your satisfaction?	1	2	3	4	5

<b>Logistics</b>	Poor	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding
Was your Pre-conference communications adequately informative ?	1	2	3	4	5
Was your registration handled smoothly?	1	2	3	4	5
Were the session starting and ending times convenient?	1	2	3	4	5
Was the resource material useful and accommodative of the conference outcomes?	1	2	3	4	5
Conference food	1	2	3	4	5
Audio-visual arrangements	1	2	3	4	5
Conference venue	1	2	3	4	5
How was the overall hospitality of the conference?	1	2	3	4	5

**A) Please list aspects of the programs that was most beneficial.**

**B) Please list aspects of the program that could be improved.**

**C) Any other suggestion**

**Thank you for your valuable feedback!!!!**